

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

DENISE RILEY,	:	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	No. 13-7205
v.	:	
	:	
ST. MARY MEDICAL CENTER,	:	
Defendant.	:	

ORDER

This 6th day of October, 2015, for the reasons stated in the foregoing Memorandum Opinion, Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment is **GRANTED**.

Upon consideration of Defendant's Motion to Strike and for Sanctions, the Motion to Strike is **DENIED AS MOOT**, and the Motion for Sanctions is **DENIED**.¹

/s/ Gerald Austin McHugh
United States District Court Judge

¹ Although I have not issued sanctions, the conduct of Plaintiff's counsel certainly reaches the outer boundary of permissible advocacy, and the Court takes a particularly dim view of the contradictory post-deposition Certifications filed. Counsel and his firm would be well advised to avoid such behavior in the future.